IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CIVIL REVISION NO. 305 / 2003

Single Bench

1) Nandkishore,
Son of Taransingh,
Aged about 51 years,

2) Motiram,
Son of Rambharos Sahu,
Aged about 41 years,

3) Pancham,
Son of Budhau,
Aged about 65 years,

4) Rajkumar,
Son of Bhatpariya,
Aged about 18 years,

5) Ramavtar,
Son of Miththu,
Aged about 29 years,

6) Madho,
Son of Ganesh Sahu,
Aged about 40 years,

7) Siddharam,
Son of Ganesh Sahu,
Aged about 35 years,

{All Residents of Village – Tura, Semariya, Tahsil – Navagarh, Distt. – Durg (C.G.)}

: **PETITIONERS**
PLAINTIFFS 3 to 9

2096/03

Filed on 12.09.03
By Shri Pankaj Sengupta Advocate

VERSUS

1) Gheevchand,
Son of Bhuvan Satnami,
Aged about 52 years
Occupation - Agriculture,
Resident of Tura, Semariya,
Tahsil - Navagarh,
District - Durg(C.G.)

: **RESPONDENTS**
DEFENDANTS

Received
Copy of Memo
for Respt
12/9/2003

(5) (5)

13) Prem, Son of, Kunjram,
Aged about 50 years,

14) Dhanush, Son of, Kunjram,
Aged about 45 years,

15) Chandrika, Son of, Kunjram Satnami,
Aged about 35 years,

{Respondent No. 12 to 15 are agriculturist, & Resident of Village Dand, Tahsil – Mungeli, Distt. – Bilaspur(C.G.)}

16) Janaklal, **PLAINTIFF No.1**
Son of, Lakhani Satnami,
Aged about 51 years,

17) Gopal, **PLAINTIFF No.2**
Son of Dakhani Satnami,
Aged about 25 years,

{Respondent No. 16 & 17 are resident of Village Tura, Semariya,Tahsil – Navagarh, Distt. Durg (C.G.)}

18) Amrit Bai, widow of Jugnu Satnami, **(Proceeded Exparte)**
Aged about 65 years, 08.10.2001
Resident of Village Tura, Semariya,
Tahsil – Navagarh, Distt. Durg (C.G.)

19) Smt. Gulab Bai, W/o Gheevchand Satnami,
Aged about 48 years, Occupation – agricuture,
Resident of Village Manpur, Tahsil – Navagarh,
Distt. Durg (C.G.)

20) Rajkumari, D/o Malikram, **(Proceeded Exparte)**
Aged about 18 years, 08.10.2001
Resident of Village – Tura, Semariya,
Tahsil – Navagarh, Distt. – Durg (C.G.)

21) Kunwariya, D/o Malikram,
Aged about 18 years,
Resident of Village – Tura, Semariya,
Tahsil – Navagarh, Distt. – Durg (C.G.)

22) Pyari Bai, D/o Malikram,
Aged about 12 years,

23) Uttara Bai, D/o Malikram,
Aged about 9 years,

(6) (5)

{Respondent No. 19 to 23 are Minor,
Through the Natural Guardian, mother
Smt. Rajo Bai, W/o Malikram Gadariya,
Resident of Village – Rampur,
Tahsil – Bemetara, Distt – Durg (C.G.)}

CIVIL REVISION UNDER SECTION 115 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(25)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक C.R. No. 305/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	20.12.2005 **The matter was listed before this Court on 21/03/2005 on default. On this date the application for condonation of delay in payment of P.F. was allowed and the applicants were directed to pay P.F. within seven days for service of summons to the respondents. However, the applicant did not comply with the above order and the matter is again listed for orders on default of non payment of P.F. in compliance of the Courts' order dated 21.03.2005.** **When the matter is called none appeared for the applicants.** **In the aforesaid circumstances, the revision is dismissed for non compliance of the order dated 21/03/2005.** Sd/- DHIRENDRA MISHRA Judge	